



1936

Progress of the Integrated Bar

North Dakota Law Review Associate Editors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr>

Recommended Citation

North Dakota Law Review Associate Editors (1936) "Progress of the Integrated Bar," *North Dakota Law Review*: Vol. 12 : No. 9 , Article 2.

Available at: <https://commons.und.edu/ndlr/vol12/iss9/2>

This Note is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UND Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in North Dakota Law Review by an authorized editor of UND Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact und.commons@library.und.edu.

Connecticut, New Jersey, Pennsylvania and many of the Western states and Southern states. They have gotten away from the idea that a judge must belong to this political party or that political party.

Therefore, in this state let us keep the best material we have on the bench, banish all thoughts of politics or religion, and select the judges from the leading members of the Bar, and therefore satisfy the people as much as we can that we want our courts to be free from all influence whatsoever so that they may deal in the most sacred of all sacred causes—the administration of public justice.

M. A. HILDRETH, President,
State Bar Association.

“The law is the standard and guardian of our liberty; it circumscribes and defends it; but to imagine liberty without law, is to imagine every man with his sword in his hand to destroy him who is weaker than himself.”—LORD CLARENDON.

PROGRESS OF THE INTEGRATED BAR

Eighteen states now have the integrated bar. In other states the bar associations have approved the idea, but necessary legislation has not been enacted. During the last year Michigan passed an act directing the supreme court to organize the entire profession under rules. In Wisconsin an act to form an inclusive, self-governing organization passed the legislature, but was annulled by veto.—BENCH & BAR, Missouri.

Next time some one in your presence criticizes the courts or lawyers for the administration of justice ask that person: “Are you on the list for jury service? Do you serve regularly when called?”—PRESIDENT RANSOM.

“Every man owes some of his time to the upbuilding of the profession to which he belongs.”—THEODORE ROOSEVELT.

“The authority of the law is questioned in these days all too much. The binding obligation of obedience against personal desire is denied in many quarters. If these doctrines prevail, all organized government, all liberty, are at an end.”—CALVIN COOLIDGE.

CORRECT REMEDY FOR COURTROOM YAPPING

Commenting upon the frequent lack of strongly asserted judicial power in the court room, Newton D. Baker, in a letter to the Editor of *Journal of the American Judicature Society*, related this to the nature of the judicial offices where judges are selected by political methods and are not afforded real certainty of tenure. Our elective system he said “practically commits the selection of judges to politicians, whose determination is not necessarily bad, but is quite obviously not primarily directed toward a discriminating judgment in the matter of fitness for judicial service.” The letter continues as follows:

“The judges who are chosen are ordinarily men of fair professional attainments and altogether beyond the possibility of conscious corruption of favoritism but they utterly lack the courage to use the real resources of the judicial power to produce just results. Either they are timid from lack of confidence in their knowledge of judicial power or else they are timid because they fear being reversed or being criticized. This difficulty cannot be overcome by making new rules, or indeed, by any